National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners

Incorporated

KEITH BISSELL, President Tennessee Public Service Commission 460 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, Tennessee 37243-0505

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

1102 Interstate Commerce Commission Building Constitution Avenue and Twelfth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20423

Mailing Address: Post Office Box 684 Washington, D.C. 20044-0684

> Telephone: 202-898-2200 Facsimile: 202-898-2213

> > PAUL RODGERS Administrative Director General Counsel

GARECEIVED

FEB 1 4 1994

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

BOB ANDERSON, First Vice President Montana Public Service Commission 1701 Prospect Avenue Post Office Box 202601 Helena, Montana 59620-2601

EDWARD H. SALMON, Second Vice President New Jersey Board of Regulatory Commissioners 44 South Clinton Avenue CN-350 Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0350

February 14, 1994

HAND DELIVERED

Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, NW

Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of Policies and Rules Concerning Toll Fraud Request to File/Ex Parte Comments

Dear Sir:

Pursuant to Sections 1.41, 1.44, 1.415(d), 1.419(b), 1.1206(a)(1) of the Federal Communications Commission's ("FCC" or "Commission") Rules of Practice and Procedure, 47 C.F.R. 1.41, 1.44, 1.415, 1.419 (1993), the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners ("NARUC") respectfully requests that the Commission "authorize", within the meaning of Section 1.415(d), NARUC's attached out-of-time reply comments ("Comments") in the above-captioned proceeding. Alternatively, NARUC requests that those Comments be deemed written ex parte communications within the meaning of Section 1.419(b) and 1.1206 of the Commission's regulations.

In support of this request, NARUC notes the following:

- (1) NARUC has participated in a timely fashion in the FCC's earlier toll fraud proceedings, including the File No. 93-TOLL Fraud - 01 [DA-1464] proceeding the instant NPRM is based upon;
- Due to an unfortunate combination of events related to the replacement of NARUC counsel's computer's hard drive last week, NARUC counsel just missed the 5:30 deadline for filing;
- (3) Section 1.415(d) of the Commission's Rules states that "...[n]o additional...", i.e., out-of-time, "...comments may be filed unless specifically requested or authorized by the Commission."

No. of Copies rec'd

NARUC NUCLEAR WASTE PROGRAM List A B C D E 1071 National Press Building, 529 14th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20045 Telephone: (202) 347-4314; Facsimile: (202) 347-4317

COMMITTEES OF THE ASSOCIATION FOR THE 1993-1994 YEAR

COMMITTEE ON COMMUNICATIONS (1941)

Dean J. (Joe) Miller,* Idaho, Chair Stephen O. Hewlett, Tennessee, Vice Chair Sharon L. Nelson,* Washington Bruce Hagen,* North Dakota Lilo K. Schifter, Maryland Andrew C. Barrett,* FCC Louis R. Sherman,* Canadian RTC, Observer Preston C. Shannon, Virginia Dennis J. Nagel,* Iowa UB Kenneth Gordon,* Massachusetts Margot Priest, Ontario TSC, Observer Nancy M. Norling,* Delaware David W. Rolka, Pennsylvania James J. Malachowski, Rhode Island Line Rochon, Quebec TB, Observer Charles B. Martin, Alabama Laska Schoenfelder, South Dakota G. Richard Klein, Indiana Kenneth McClure,* Missouri PSC Howard C. Davenport, District of Columbia Jolynn Barry Butler, Ohio Norman D. Shumway,* California lean-Marc Demers, Quebec TB, Observer Cheryl L. Parrino,* Wisconsin Edward H. Salmon,* New Jersey Lisa Rosenblum, New York PSC Robert E. Temmer, Colorado Sam I. Bratton, Jr., Arkansas Julia Johnson, Florida Sarah Goodfriend, Texas PUC Don Schroer, Alaska William W. Redman, Jr.,* North Carolina Daniel G. Urwiller, Nebraska John L. O'Donnell, Michigan

COMMITTEE ON ELECTRICITY (1953)

Ronald E. Russell,* Michigan, Chair Lynn Shishido-Topel, Illinois, Vice Chair Robert A. Robertson, Nova Scotia, Observer Kenneth D. Stofferahn, South Dakota James M. Byrne, Utah Patricia S. Qualls,* Arkansas Richard D. Casad, Washington John H. Arnesen, REA, Observer Otis D. Casto, West Virginia Elizabeth Paine, Maine Michael A. Pitlock, Nevada John T. Coughlin, Wisconsin Patricia M. Eckert, California I.C. Watts, Jr., Oklahoma Judith C. Allan, Ontario EB, Observer Warren D. Arthur, IV, South Carolina Emmit J. George, Jr., Iowa UB Robert Gee,* Texas PUC Marsha H. Smith, Idaho Evan W. Woollacott, Connecticut Susan F. Clark, Florida James Sullivan.* Alabama Donald A. Storm, Minnesota PUC Barbara Kates-Garnick, Massachusetts Hullihen W. Moore, Virginia Duncan E. Kincheloe, Missouri Lisa Crutchfield, Pennsylvania David E. Ziegner, Indiana John E. Thomas, North Carolina Lawrence B. Ingram, New Mexico PUC

Linda Key Breathitt, Kentucky PSC Bil Tucker,* Wyoming

COMMITTEE ON ENERGY CONSERVATION (1984)

Richard H. Cowart,* Vermont, Chair Karl R. Rabago, Texas PUC, Vice Chair Ron Eachus, Oregon Allan G. Mueller, Missouri Renz D. Jennings,* Arizona Cynthia A. Kitlinski, Minnesota PUC Carl A. Wolf, Jr., Ontario EB, Observer George E. Overbey, Ir., Kentucky PSC Daniel Patrick O'Tierney, Alaska Edward M. Meyers, District of Columbia William D. Cotter, New York PSC Mac Barber, Georgia Bob Anderson,* Montana Steve Ellenbecker, Wyoming Mary M. McInerny, New Mexico PUC Craig A. Glazer,* Ohio Allyson K. Duncan, North Carolina Leonard U. Wilson, Vermont William M. Nugent, Maine Christine E.M. Alvarez, Colorado Douglas L. Patch, New Hampshire Scott A. Neitzel, Wisconsin Karl A. McDermott, Illinois John F. Mendoza, Nevada P. Gregory Conlon, California Bob Rowe, Montana Susan E. Wefald, North Dakota John Hanger, Pennsylvania Carmen J. Armenti, New Jersey

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE AND TECHNOLOGY (1985)

James A. Burg,* South Dakota

Joseph Rhodes, Jr.,* Pennsylvania, Chair Orville J. Cook, Ontario EB, Observer Marcia G. Weeks, Arizona Ralph Nelson, Idaho Edmund R. Skelcher, Ontario TSC, Observer J. Terry Deason,* Florida Richard M. Fanelly, Ohio Thomas M. Benedict, Connecticut Tom Burton, Minnesota PUC Vincent Majkowski, Colorado Harold Crumpton, Missouri Roger Hamilton, Oregon E. Mason Hendrickson, Maryland Mary Jo Huffman, Indiana Walter L. Challenger, Virgin Islands Rod Johnson, Nebraska

COMMITTEE ON GAS (1963)

Bruce B. Ellsworth,* New Hampshire, Chair Ruth K. Kretschmer, Illinois, Vice Chair Joshua M. Twilley, Delaware John R. Smyth, Wyoming Frank O. Heintz,* Maryland Leo M. Reinbold, North Dakota Roland Priddle, Canada, Observer Frederick L. Corban, Indiana S. Peter Bickley, New Mexico PUC

Nancy Shimanek Boyd, Iowa UB Henry G. Yonce,* South Carolina Peter Bradford,* New York PSC Bob Anthony, Oklahoma Julius D. Kearney, Arkansas Robert A. Rowan,* Georgia Jo Ann P. Kelly, Nevada C. William W. Darling, Ontario EB, Observer Laurence A. Cobb, North Carolina Richard D. Frum, West Virginia Joan H. Smith, Oregon Walter T. Kelly, Minnesota OPS, Observer Patricia D. Perkins, Missouri PSC J. Michael Biddison, Ohio Rachel C. Lipman,* Kansas Robert-Paul Chauvelot, Quebec GB, Observer Norman E. MacMurchy, Alberta PMC, Observer Daniel Wm. Fessler, California Paul E. Hanaway, Rhode Island John M. Quain, Pennsylvania Richard Hemstad, Washington Barry Williamson, Texas RC John G. Strand, Michigan Curt Hebert, Jr., Mississippi Stephen C. Hewlett, Utah

COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION (1983)

Stephen T. Walker,* Missouri DOT, Chair Irma Muse Dixon, Louisiana, Vice Chair Claude M. Ligon, Maryland Frank D. Cochran, Tennessee R. Henry Spalding, Kentucky RC William A. Bailey, Kentucky RC Cecil A. Bowers, South Carolina Ierome D. Block, New Mexico SCC Louis E. Gallegos, New Mexico SCC Frank E. Landis, Jr., Nebraska Joseph Jacob Simmons, III,* ICC Gail C. McDonald, ICC Iim Robinson, Kansas Darrel W. Rensink, Iowa DOT Mary Scott Nabers, Texas RC Judy M. Sheldrew, Nevada James E. Carter, Sr., Alaska

COMMITTEE ON WATER (1967)

Charles H. Hughes,* North Carolina, Chair Ieremiah F. O'Connor, New Jersey, Vice Chair Dale H. Morgan, Arizona Robert M. Davis, Kentucky PSC Jan Cook, Alabama Mary Clark Webster, Massachusetts Galen D. Denio, Nevada David S. Williams, Illinois Reginald J. Smith, Connecticut David W. Johnson, Ohio Kate F. Racine, Rhode Island Robert G. Rowell, South Carolina Suzanne D. Rude, Vermont

*Member of the Executive Committee of the Association

If such Section 1.415 authorization is not forthcoming, subject to certain conditions, one can still file "informal" ex parte comments after the deadline for reply comments. [See 47 C.F.R. Section 1.419(b), which states that "[i]nformal comments filed after close of the reply comment period...should be labeled "ex parte" pursuant to section 1.12066(a) of this Chapter."]

- (4) The subject matter at issue in this proceeding is of undeniable and significant interest to NARUC's state commission membership;
- (5) No other participant's comments can adequately represent the viewpoint of NARUC's membership. This viewpoint is necessary to fully illuminate the issues raised by the FCC's proposal and assure a complete record upon which to base a decision. Hence, granting the requested authorization and/or waivers will serve the public interest by ensuring NARUC's full participation.
- (7) No other participant will be prejudiced by allowing this late filing as
 - (a) NARUC is filing its reply on the next business day after the scheduled date, [the FCC was closed due to inclement weather on the 11th],
 - (b) this is the reply round of comments and no formal rebuttal to this round of comments is scheduled; and
 - (d) any party remains free to file <u>ex parte</u> a response to this and any other reply filed timely last friday at the Commission.

Accordingly, NARUC respectfully requests that the Commission grant any waivers and/or authorizations necessary to allow filing comments out-of-time in the above-captioned proceeding. Alternatively, NARUC requests that its Comments be deemed written ex parte communications within the meaning of Section 1.419(b) and 1.1206 of the Commission's regulations.

Respectfully submitted,

JAMES BRADFORD RAMSAY

Deputy Assistant General Counsel

National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners

BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20054

In the Matter of

POLICIES AND RULES
CONCERNING TOLL FRAUD

CC Docket No. 93-292

REPLY COMMENTS OF THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REGULATORY UTILITY COMMISSIONERS

PAUL RODGERS General Counsel

CHARLES D. GRAY
Assistant General Counsel

JAMES BRADFORD RAMSAY
Deputy Assistant General Counsel

National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners

1102 ICC Building Post Office Box 684 Washington, D.C. 20044

(202) 898-2200

February 10, 1993

In the Matter of

POLICIES AND RULES CONCERNING TOLL FRAUD

CC Docket No. 93-292

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| I. | INTE | REST | OF | NAR | UC | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | . 1 |
|----------|---------------|--|---------------------------------|---|------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| II. | BACK | GROUI | D. | • | • | | • | • | | • | | | | | • | • | | • | • | | • | | • | . 2 |
| III. | I. DISCUSSION | | | | | | | | | | | • | • | • | • | • | . 4 | | | | | | | |
| | A. | The legi prob | sla | tio | n t | ha | t a | ppı | rog | pri | at | el | y i | res | ge | ond | ls ' | to | th | e f | to] | L1 | fr | aud |
| | В. | The regularity together togeth | late the eral | ors r t bil u | , : :o iti nde | ind sha es erta | usi re w ake | try hic | nf nf ch a | nd ori a pu | 1 nat 11 b1 | aw cio ow ic | e n t | nf or ol inf | or 1 1 Eo: | cei sys fr | mer ste au ati | nt m d on | ag an to | en d a | ci eq oco | es rui cui | w pm r n | ork ent and |
| | c. | Toll the orde frau | majo r t | or : | IXC | s s imi | sho ze | ulo tl | d k he | oe ¯ | of ost | fe: | re of | d t | co ces | cu spc | st ond | om | er: g | 3 8 | at | CO | st | in |
| | D. | Toll deve cust toll resp equi a pr | lope ome fr ons tab | ed i rs : aud ibi: ly, der | by in ; ; lit in | the ord Sta iea | e I ler te: mar | EC to s i l | s n sho ia r | and nin oul bil | im.d | ize be ie re | er e (| ed the ence a gn: | u e c ou nd iz | nd cos ira es | er ge otl | st of d ner | to to e s | esp a ob | ta on ss: li ps | ri di ign ga w | ff ng n tie | to the ons hin |
| IV. C | CONCLU | JSION | • | • | | • | • | • | | | • | • | | • | • | | • | | | • | | • | | 12 |
| APPEN | IDIX A | ٠. | | • | | | • | • | • | | • | | | • | | | | • | • | | • | | | 13 |
| רייוסיםי | · | ים סי | CET |) T T T | ~ TE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 11 |

BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20054

)

In the Matter of

POLICIES AND RULES CONCERNING TOLL FRAUD

CC Docket No. 93-292

REPLY COMMENTS OF THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REGULATORY UTILITY COMMISSIONERS

Pursuant to Sections 1.49, 1.415, and 1.419 of the Federal Communications Commission's ("FCC" or "Commission") Rules of Practice and Procedure, 47 C.F.R. Sections 1.49, 1.415, and 1.419 (1993), the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners ("NARUC") respectfully submits the following comments addressing the Commission's December 2, 1993 Notice of Proposed Rule Making ("NPRM"), [FCC 93-496], as adopted November 10, 1993 and released December 2, 1993, in the above-captioned proceeding:

I. INTEREST OF NARUC

NARUC is a quasi-governmental nonprofit organization founded in 1889. Its membership includes governmental bodies engaged in the regulation of carriers and utilities from all fifty States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands. The NARUC's mission is to improve the quality and effectiveness of public utility regulation in America. More specifically, NARUC is composed of, inter alia, State and territorial officials charged with the duty of regulating the telecommunications common carriers within their respective borders.

As such, they have the obligation to assure the establishment of such telecommunications services and facilities as may be required by the public convenience and necessity, and the furnishing of service at rates that are just and reasonable.

The FCC has initiated a rulemaking to examine ways to limit toll fraud in this proceeding. Obviously, the FCC's proposals may have direct effects on existing and pending state initiatives that deal with the same issues. Accordingly, the FCC's proposed action raises issues of concern to NARUC's State commission membership.

II. BACKGROUND

In the face of toll fraud losses estimated at from \$1 to \$5 billion dollars annually, at NARUC's March 1993 meetings, the Communications Committee sponsored a generic resolution concerning the issue. That resolution, which is appended as Appendix A, recognizes that the FCC and various other organizations such as the Exchange Carriers Standards Association [now ATIS], Stentor Alliance of Canada, International Communications Association, American Public Communications Council and other segments of the industry have taken steps to examine the increasing magnitude of PBX and pay phone toll fraud. The resolution directs the NARUC general counsel to "file papers and other documents supporting the policies of this resolution in the appropriate forums." The resolution recommends the following:

- o That industry and regulators jointly undertake a public information campaign to educate telecommunications users about toll fraud;
- That regulators, industry, and law enforcement agencies work together to request Congress to enact legislation that appropriately responds to the toll fraud problems and issues, including increased penalties;

- o That the regulators, industry and law enforcement agencies work together to share information on system and equipment vulnerabilities which allow toll fraud to occur;
- o That the toll fraud detection and prevention programs developed by the major IXCs should be offered to customers at cost in order to minimize the costs of responding to the toll fraud problem;
- o That toll fraud detection and prevention programs should be developed by the LECs and offered under state tariff to customers in order to minimize the cost of responding to toll fraud; and finally,
- o That States should assign such responsibilities, liabilities and other obligations equitably, in a manner that recognizes those steps within a provider's control that can be taken to control toll fraud.

In response to the resolution, NARUC has already filed comments in the following three FCC proceedings: (1) IN THE MATTER OF THE COMMISSION'S TOLL FRAUD INQUIRY (File No. 93-TOLL Fraud - 01 [DA-1464]), (2) IN THE MATTER OF FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION'S PETITION FOR REVIEW OF TARIFF PROVISIONS RELATING TO LIABILITY FOR TOLL FRAUD CHARGES (File No. 93-TOLL Fraud - 02), (3) IN THE MATTER OF POLICIES AND RULES CONCERNING OPERATOR SERVICE ACCESS AND PAY TELEPHONE COMPENSATION (CC Docket 91-35).

Subsequently, on December 2, 1994, based on the earlier inquiry in File No. 93-TOLL Fraud - 01, the FCC issued the instant NPRM. The purpose of the NPRM is to identify additional policies the FCC should establish to reduce the risks of toll fraud.

One Hundred and Forty Two entities filed comments in response to the FCC's proposals. Both the NPRM and the commentors raise several issues addressed by NARUC's resolution.

III. DISCUSSION:

In paragraph 13 of the NPRM, mimeo at 9, the FCC, requests comment on specific ways to achieve closer and continuing coordination among institutions fighting toll fraud, specifically suggesting the possible creation of a federal toll fraud advisory committee. In the same paragraph, the Commission asks if it should join with law enforcement authorities to encourage "Congress to enact legislation that clearly defines and penalizes this criminal activity and gives law enforcement the tools it needs to track and prosecute perpetrators.... " and queries how the FCC can broaden its own and industry consumer education efforts on the risks of, and preventative measures available to combat, toll fraud. As part of its education efforts, the FCC specifically proposes imposing labeling requirements upon vendors. As already noted in this and previous filings, NARUC supports the FCC's initiatives in each of Moreover, as discussed below, the record these three areas. complied thus far in this proceeding indicates that the majority of industry participants support these efforts also.

A. The FCC should join with others to ask Congress to enact legislation that appropriately responds to the toll fraud problems and issues, including increased penalties.

While NARUC has not taken a specific position on the types of measures that should be encouraged, NARUC joins the overwhelming majority of commentors in urging the FCC to ask Congress to enact appropriate legislation.

As the FCC accurately states in the NPRM, mimeo at 8, \P 12, because "there is no specific federal legislation regarding toll fraud, prosecutors must deal with the limited effectiveness of the existing criminal statutes." The majority of commentors agree. For example, over 60 businesses that own PBXs filed comments contending that, until prosecutors are given effective tools, toll fraud will continue to grow beyond the \$5 billion problem it is They all urge the FCC to see legislation that clearly defines and penalizes this criminal activity and gives law enforcement the tools it needs to track and prosecute perpetrators.1

See, the January 14, 1994 Initial Comments filed by AgiBank, American General Financial Center, American Speech-Language-Hearing Ass'n, AmSouth Bank of Florida, APA/NPA, Ask-A-Nurse, Bessemer & Lake Erie Railroad Co., Blue Cross Blue Shield of RI, BNK & R, Inc., Brunschwig & Fils, Caterpillar Inc., Central Products Co., Chicago Convention & Tourism Bureau, Inc., Connie North, Cooper Industries -Crouse Hinds Div., Crawford & Co., Crocker Fels, CSE Insurance Co., Delaware Valley Medical Center, Donald Gore, El Paso Water Utilities Public Service Board, Ernst & Young, FOG, Flex Communications, Florence Rosol, Glen Ellen Clinic, Global DEFINITY Users Group, H.G. Zachary Co., Halliburton Co., Hewlett-Packard, Himont USA Inc., ILC Data Device Corp., Indiana University, Joan McCarthy, JR Simplot Co., Judith Rhein Johnson, Kansas Turnpike Authority, KDKA-TV 2, Keystone Investor Resource Center, Inc., KUTV Inc., Legent Corp., Liberty Diversified Industries, LTV Steel Co., M.D. Health Plan, Mark Oleck, Masco Corp., Matsushita Electric Corp. of America, Mead Products, Mercy Healthcare, Inc., MetroNorth Commuter Railroad, Mid-Continent Life Insurance Co., Midwest Express, Milbank, Tweed, Hardley & McCloy and Anne M. Cosmai, Mobil Corp., National DEFINITY Users Group, Inc., Northnup King, OBICI Hospital, Pace Foods, Inc., Pennock, Pete Jella, Pottstown Memorial Medical Center, Preformed Line Products, PRIMUS Automotive Financial Services, Inc., Raleigh Technology Group, Inc., Raymond J. Dzek, Saint Margaret Memorial Hospital, Sara Lee Corp., South Seas Resort Co., Stormont-Vail Regional Medical Center, Tele-Communications Ass'n, Greeley Medical Clinic, Inc., Green Point Savings Bank, Mid-America Group, Union Central Life Insurance Co., University of Oklahoma, Wilkerson Group, Inc., Thomas Hospital, U.M.I, United Fire & Casualty Co. - United Life Insur. Co., USL Capital, West Georgia Medical Center, Westvaco, Wm. C. Brown Communications, Inc., and WYSE Advertising.

These PBX owners are not alone in urging the FCC to take action. Representatives from almost every industry group joined in urging the FCC to ask for appropriate legislation. For example, Ameritech stresses that the language in statutes must include specifics that address the broad range of fraudulent activities constituting criminal offenses. Ameritech comments continue by arguing, as suggested by NARUC's resolution and the record complied in the FCC's En Banc hearings, that the classification of these crimes and the penalties associated with conviction must be upgraded to serve as an effective deterrent.² The other Bell Holding Companies and a local exchange carrier organizations make similar comments.³ Interexchange carriers, ⁴ governmental groups, ⁵

See, Ameritech's January 14, 1994 Initial Comments at 2.

See, the January 14, 1994 Initial Comments of Bell Atlantic, at 1, asking the FCC to support federal legislation to make toll fraud a crime, BellSouth, at 2, supporting enactment of toll fraud legislation, NYNEX, at 22-23, recommending that laws be modified to more clearly place the enforcement of such rules within the jurisdiction of the Secret Service and to criminalize possession of (1) a cellular phone in violation of § 22.929 of the FCC's rules, or of (2) scanning receivers or hardware and/or software used to alter cellular phones, SNET, at 4, supporting federal legislation to encourage the development of the technical resources necessary to prevent and prosecute toll fraud, and the United States Telephone Association, at 2, urging the FCC to assist Congress in crafting legislation to punish toll fraud.

See, the January 14, 1994 Comments of AT&T at 38, asking the FCC to encourage enforcement of existing criminal statutes and the adoption of more effective fraud prevention statutes, MCI, at 18-20, urging the FCC to propose legislation to Congress that would give law enforcement a better ability to track and prosecute fraud specifying support for enactment of a specific federal criminal statute and suggesting that consideration should be given to amending certain federal laws that may hinder fraud investigations, and, Sprint, at 2, welcoming efforts by the FCC to help persuade Congress to enact more specific legislation to penalize toll fraud.

cellular companies, manufacturers, and various user groups also filed comments urging the FCC to solicit Confessional action.

NARUC joins these other parties in asking the FCC to "to request Congress to enact legislation that appropriately responds to the toll fraud problems and issues, including increased penalties." 9

See, the January 14, 1994 Initial Comments of Pinellas County, at 3, pointing out the dire need for additional federal legislation imposing harsh penalties for telephone fraud and mandatory restitution provisions, City of New York, at 5, strongly endorsing the FCC's recommendation to join with law enforcement authorities to encourage Congress to enact new laws.

See, the January 14, 1994 Initial Comments of Vanguard, at 10, suggesting that criminalization of telecommunications fraud is vital and asking the FCC to recommend to Congress that specific criminal laws be enacted, and, at 11, noting that current statutes do not cover possession or manufacture of equipment used for cellular fraud and contending that the FCC should recommend that Congress and state legislatures prohibit possessing cellular telephones with tumbling ESNs or that are cloned, manufacturing such phones, or altering existing phones to clone them or give them tumbling ESNs.

See, the January 14, 1994 Initial Comments of Northern Telecom, at 7, supporting stronger penalties for toll fraud.

See, the January 14, 1994 Initial Comments of the American Petroleum Institute, at 17-9, encouraging the enactment of legislation and a push for toll fraud prosecution, and Planned Parenthood of New York City and Reynolds and Reynolds, at 13-14, encouraging the FCC to initiate cooperation with Law enforcement agencies in the furtherance of criminal penalties for toll fraud.

See Appendix A - NARUC's March 1993 Resolution on Toll Fraud.

B. The FCC should work to create mechanisms that assure that regulators, industry and law enforcement agencies work together to share information on system and equipment vulnerabilities which allow toll fraud to occur and jointly undertake a public information campaign to educate telecommunications users about toll fraud.

NARUC is already on record as urging a cooperative approach among industry, regulators, and law enforcement authorities to educate the public and share information to make fraud more difficult and make apprehension of perpetrators more likely.

Although NARUC has not taken a specific position supporting the federal advisory committee posed in the NPRM, we do support the cooperative approach the creation of such a committee would engender. Should the FCC determine to go ahead with this proposal, we also agree with the recommendation of the Office of Information Resources of the South Carolina Budget and Control Board Division of Operations that any such an committee include state governmental entities, including, of course, State public utility commissioners.

A wide range of commentors also agree that some sort of cooperative effort involving regulators and industry is necessary. For example, Comptel, at 1, of its Initial Comments, suggests that a new Federal Advisory Committee might work well to broaden consumer education initiatives and strengthen law enforcement tools. The American Public Communications Counsel also argues, at 3 of their comments, that the cooperative approach inherent in a new federal advisory committee representing all affected interest - would have significant advantages over existing efforts.

The City of New York, in a similar vein, recommends that the FCC establish a public-private Council on Telecommunications Fraud which would include representatives from the telecommunications industry, consumers, equipment vendors, law enforcement agencies, Congress, the Commission, state, and local regulatory agencies.

Aside from this specific proposal for a policy committee, almost all the comments filed emphasize the importance of educational efforts at all levels. Accordingly, NARUC again urges the FCC to (i) continue in its efforts to facilitate collaborative efforts involving other regulators, industry and law enforcement agencies to share information on system and equipment vulnerabilities and (ii) jointly undertake public information campaigns to educate telecommunications users about toll fraud.

See, e.g., the January 14, 1994 Initial Comments of Ameritech, at 2, suggesting that customer education and awareness will play a key role in addressing this issue, Bell Atlantic, at 5-6, stating that its companies have already actively implemented increased fraud-control programs and begun a series of customer education efforts, BellSouth, at 3, emphasizing its efforts to educate customers of the risk and of effective countermeasures, Pacific Bell & Nevada Bell, at 4-6, discussing their companies' fraud protection programs, AT&T, at 3-8, contending the NPRM correctly proposes to require education initiatives, recommending that all carriers collectively be required to distribute an annual notice to all customers regarding toll fraud, and concurring with the FCC's proposal to amend Part 68 to require that manufacturers of PBX-type equipment to provide toll fraud warnings, MCI, at 34, discussing its programs and agreeing that equipment manufacturers, vendors, and LECs have obligations to inform customers, WillTel, Inc., at 8, suggesting that customers should be educated about the specific steps they should take to prevent and detect fraud, Pennsylvania PSC, at 4, suggesting that tariff liability provisions should recognize an obligation by the carrier to warn customers of the risks of using carrier services, New York City's recommendation, at 3-5, that consumer education initiatives be included as a high priority, and ACUTA, at 3, arguing that consumer education is essential to stem toll fraud.

C. Toll fraud detection and prevention programs developed by the major IXCs should be offered to customers at cost in order to minimize the costs of responding to the toll fraud problem.

In ¶ 26 of the NPRM, <u>mimeo</u> at 14, the FCC asks if it should require "IXCs and LECS to offer customers protection through monitoring services, on what basis that service should be offered, and whether such services should also be part of the basic interexchange service offering."

Some commentors suggest that IXCs tariff a monitoring service. For example, Stephen Satchell, at 7 of his comments, argues that the IXCs or LECs, or both, can offer fraud detection or blocking services at additional cost, subject to tariff restrictions. TCA, at 5 of their comments, suggest that, as all IXCs can provide real-time monitoring of both inbound 800 and outbound calls, such monitoring should be made universally available. Others made similar suggestions. NARUC has not taken a position on the types of programs that IXCs should offer. However, to the extent such programs exist or are developed, NARUC contends that such IXC programs should be offered at cost. By requiring IXCs to offer these programs at cost, the FCC will reduce the overall costs associated with responding to the toll fraud problem.

D. Toll fraud detection and prevention programs should be developed by the LECs and offered under state tariff to customers in order to minimize the cost of responding to toll fraud; States should be encouraged to assign the responsibilities, liabilities and other obligations equitably, in a manner that recognizes those steps within a provider's control that can be taken to control toll fraud.

In \P 25 of the NPRM, <u>mimeo</u> at 14, the FCC asks for comment on "whether to apportion the cost of CPE-based fraud based on whether carriers, CPE owners, equipment manufacturers, or possibly others were in the best position to avoid, detect, warn of, or control the fraud." A number of commentors filed comments in accord with this approach. For example, TCA, at 3 and 5 of its comments, suggests the responsibility for controlling toll fraud must be allocated in a manner that recognizes the relative ability to reduce or eliminate that fraud. The Pennsylvania PUC, at 10-11, suggests that payphone providers be required to take reasonable steps where available. Bell Atlantic, at 3-5, urges the FCC to adopt rules to provide for a "no-fault" cost sharing mechanism available to all service providers in a position to control certain types of fraud that use the features available to them. NARUC has not taken a position on the specifics of federal measures to apportion the costs of fraud. However, the FCC's proposal seems consistent with the NARUC's expressed resolve to encourage all States "....to assign the responsibilities, liabilities and other obligations equitably, in a manner that recognizes those steps within a provider's control that can be taken to control toll fraud."

Notwithstanding this consistency in thematic approach, one potential inconsistency in the NPRM is the suggestion that LECs tariff fraud prevention programs at the federal level. NARUC's March 1993 resolution contends that toll fraud detection and prevention programs should be developed by the LECs and offered under state tariff to customers. According to our resolution, this will "...minimize the cost of responding to toll fraud." Compare, GTE's Initial Comments, at 6-13, suggesting that a nationwide policy of federal tariffing of blocking and screening services will not necessarily achieve the FCC's goals.

IV. CONCLUSION

NARUC respectfully requests that the Commission carefully examine and implement the proposals described, <u>supra</u>.

espectfully submitted,

PAUL RODGERS

1/2/15

CHARLES D. GRAY
Assistant General Counsel

JOS MA JOS

JAMES BRADFORD RAMSAY

Deputy Assistant General Counsel

National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners

1102 ICC Building Post Office Box 684 Washington, D.C. 20044

(202) 898-2200

February 10, 1994

APPENDIX A - Resolution on Telecommunications Toll Fraud

WHEREAS, various organizations such as the FCC, the Exchange Carriers Standards Association, Stentor Alliance of Canada, International Communications Association, American Public Communications Council and other segments of the industry have taken steps to examine the increasing magnitude of PBX and pay phone toll fraud; and

WHEREAS, toll fraud occurs through the unauthorized ingress and egress of a customer's telecommunications system, causing losses estimated at from \$1 to \$5 billion dollars annually; and

WHEREAS, the International Communications Association members have experienced toll fraud involving thefts totaling more than \$73,500,000 over a three year period; and

WHEREAS, it is unclear how law enforcement agencies enforce prohibitions against this criminal activity; and

WHEREAS, there is no uniform policy or structure for the management of toll fraud liability issues among the affected industry segments; and

WHEREAS, the FCC has ordered LECs to tariff international direct dialed blocking service in interstate tariffs; and

WHEREAS, assignment of responsibilities, liabilities, and obligations among and between the various providers who are potentially responsible for toll fraud must be determined; and

WHEREAS, states such as Florida, Texas and California have taken significant action to examine and address the responsibilities, liabilities, and obligations of all providers to take steps to prevent and control toll fraud and the assignment of liability when fraud occurs; now therefore be it

RESOLVED, that the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (NARUC), assembled at its February, 1993 Winter Meetings in Washington, D.C., commends the FCC for holding an enbanc hearing to call attention to the seriousness of the toll fraud

problem and for assuming a leadership role in addressing this issue; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the industry and regulators jointly undertake a public information campaign to educate telecommunications users about toll fraud; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the regulators, telecommunications industry (both regulated and non-regulated sectors) and law enforcement agencies work together to request Congress to enact legislation that appropriately responds to the toll fraud problems and issues, including increased penalties for this criminal activity; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the regulators, telecommunications industry and law enforcement agencies work together to share information on system and equipment vulnerabilities which allow toll fraud to occur; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the toll fraud detection and prevention programs developed by the major IXCs should be offered to customers at cost in order to minimize the costs of responding to the toll fraud problem; and be it further

RESOLVED, that toll fraud detection and prevention programs should be developed by the LECs and offered under state tariff to customers in order to minimize the cost of responding to toll fraud; and be it further

RESOLVED, that NARUC encourage states to assign such responsibilities, liabilities and other obligations equitably, in a manner that recognizes those steps within a provider's control that can be taken to control toll fraud; and be it further

RESOLVED, that NARUC should support the efforts of states that seek FCC action to address toll fraud in a manner consistent with the state's efforts; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the NARUC General Counsel shall file papers and other documents supporting the policies of this resolution in the appropriate forums to further this recommendation.

Sponsored by the Committee on Communications Adopted March 4, 1993

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, JAMES BRADFORD RAMSAY, certify that a copy of the foregoing was sent by first class United States mail, postage prepaid, to all parties on the autached Service List.

James Bradford Ramsay Deputy Assistant General Counsel

National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners

February 14, 1994

Reed E. Hundt
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W.
Room 814
Washington, DC 20554

Commissioner James H. Quello Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. Room 802 Washington, DC 20554

Commissioner Andrew C. Barrett Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. Room 826 Washington, DC 20554

Kathleen B. Levitz
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street, N.W.
Room 500
Washington, DC 20554

Albert H. Kramer Robert F. Aldrich Keck, Mahin & Cate 1201 New York Ave., NW Penthouse Suite Washington, DC 20005

Ashley D. Adams
Raymond S. Heyman
O'Connor, Cavanagh, Anderson et al.
One East Camelback Road
Suite 1100
Phoenix, AZ 85012

John M. Goodman Stephen E. Bozzo Bell Atlantic Telephone Cos. 1710 H Street, NW Washington, DC 20006 Gerald P. Vaughan Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. Room 500 Washington, DC 20554

Linda Dubroof Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. Room 6008 Washington, DC 20554

International Transcription Services Suite 140 2100 M Street, NW Washington, DC 20037

Informal Complaints & Public Inquiries Branch Federal Communications Commission 2025 M Street, N.W. Stop Code 1600A2 Washington, DC 20554

Pamela J. Andrews Ameritech Operating Companies 2000 West Ameritech Center Dr. Room 4H74 Hoffman Estates, IL 60196

Mark C. Rosenblum Robert J. McKee Richard H. Rubin AT&T 295 North Maple Ave, Room 3254A2 Basking Ridge, NJ 07920

M. Robert Sutherland Richard M. Sbaratta BellSouth Corporation 675 West Peachtree St., NE 4300 Southern Bell Center Atlanta, GA 30367-6000 Martin A. Mattes
Richard A. Goldberg
Graham & James
Suite 300
One Maritime Plaza
San Francisco, CA 94111

James E. Selent Hirn Reed & Harper 2000 Meidinger Tower Louisville, KY 40202

Kenneth A. Hoffman Floyd R. Self Messer, Vickers, Caparella et al. Post Office Box 1876 Tallahassee, FL 32302 William E. Wyrough, Jr. Florida PSC 101 East Gaines Street Fletcher Building Tallahassee, FL 32399-0861 Washington, DC 20036

David J. Gudino
GTE Service Corporation
1850 M Street, NW
Suite 1200
Washington, DC 20036

Robert McKenna GTE Service Corporation Post Office Box 152092 HQE03J36 Irving, TX 75015-2092 Elizabeth A. Kusibab

Newton M. Galloway Mullins & Whalen Post Office Box 133 Griffin, GA 30224 Paul C. Besozzi Besozzi, Gavin & Craven Suite 200 1901 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20036

Keith J. Roland Roland, Fogel, Koblenz & Carr One Columbia Place Albany, NY 12223 Judith St. Ledger-Roty Reed, Smith, Shaw & McClay 1200 - 18th St., NW Washington, DC 20036

Douglas F. Brent
Interexchange Carrier Industry
Committee Toll Fraud Subcommittee
9300 Shelbyville Road
Suite 700
Louisville, KY 40222

Gregory A. Ludvigsen
Suite 500
706 Second Avenue South
Minneapolis, MN 55402-3006

Stephen W. Rimmer
Mississippi Public Communication
Association
1290 Deposit Guaranty Plaza
Jackson, MS 39201

Donald J. Elardo
Mary J. Sisak
MCI Telecommunications
1801 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20006

William M. Barvick Suite 202 240 East High St. Jefferson City, MO 65101 William J. Cowan New York PSC Three Empire State Plaza Albany, NY 12223

Vincent Townsend North Carolina Payphone Assn. Suite 301 3714 Alliance Drive Greensboro, NC 27404 Edward R. Wholl William J. Balcerski NYNEX Telephone Companies 120 Bloomingdale Road White Plains, NY 10605

James E. Taylor Richard C. Hartgrove Southwestern Bell One Bell Center Room 3520 St. Louis, MO 63101 Benjamin J. Griffin Laura Holt Jones Reed, Smith, Shaw & McClay 1200 - 18th St., NW Washington, DC 20036

Jay C. Keithley
Michael B. Fingerhut
Norina T. Moy
United Telecommunications, Inc.
1850 M Street, NW, Room 1110
Washington, DC 20006

Linda Kent U.S. Telephone Assn. 1401 H Street, NW Suite 600 Washington, DC 20005

Scott W. Lee Randle, Deamer, Zarr & Lee 139 East South Temple Suite 330 Salt Lake City, UT 84111 Joel H. Levy Cohn & Marks Suite 600 1333 New Hampshire Ave., NW Washington, DC 20036

Susan M. Green AgriBank P. O. Box 64949 St. Paul, MN 55164 Rosemary Staples AmSouth Bank of Florida 70 North Baden St. Pensacola, FL 32575

Cheryl L. Wagner c/o Ask-A-Nurse Columbus Regional Hospital 2400 East 17th St. Columbus, IN 47201 J. B. Hurt
Bessemer & Lake Erie Railroad
Post Office Box 68
Monroeville, PA 15146

J. Farrington
BHK&R, Inc.
300 Olympic Place
7825 Washington Ave., South
Minneapolis, MN 55439

Gerald F. Geogett Brunschwig & Fils, Inc. 75 Virginia Road North White Plains, NY 10603

Tod West Central Products Co. P. O. Box 330 Menasha, WI 54952 Peter J. Guile Crawford & Company 4680 North Royal Atlanta Drive Tucker, GA 30084

Mary Lou Zecker
Delaware Valley Medical Center
200 Oxford Valley Road
Langhorne, PA 19047

El Paso Water Utilities Public Services Board P. O. Box 511 El Paso, TX 79961

Linda S. Gibson
Ernst & Young
Suite 3400
One Indiana Square
Indianapolis, IN 46204

Facility Operating Group Suite 1 2730 South Hardy Drive Tempe, AZ 85282

Renee A. Seay Global Definity Users Group, Inc. 901 Thompson Place MS 10 Sunnyvale, CA 94088 Helen Bryan
H.B. Zachry Co.
P. O. Box 21130
San Antonio, TX 78221-0130

Denise M. Munro Diana Tipton Doug Clark Halliburton Co. P. O. Box 1627 Arlington, TX 76004 Kyle Wickemeyer-Hardy Indiana University W.W. Wright Education Bldg. Bloomington, IN 47405-1006

Donald Giardino
Keystone Investor Resource Center
101 Main St.
Cambridge, MA 02142-1519

Sharon W. Garver Legent Corporation 7965 North High St. Columbus, OH 43235 Paula Marker Stormont-Vail Regional Medical Center 1500 S.W. 10th Ave. Topeka, KS 66604 William J. Hughes The Union Central Life Insurance Co. P. O. Box 179 Cincinnati,OH 45201

A. J. Ascone Mobil Corporation 3225 Gallows Road Fairfax, VA 22037 Brenda D. Keity Glen Ellyn Clinic, SC 454 Pennsylvania Ave. Glen Elly, IL 60137

Mid-Continent Life Insurance Co. P. O. Box 60269 Oklahoma City, OK 73146

Audrey I. Brown Mercy Healthcare, Inc. 2700 Stewrat Parkway Roseburg, OR 97470

Vicki Alexander
Primus Automotive Financial Services
P. O. Box 111897
Nashville, TN 37222

Jo Ann K. Lylink MASCO Corporation 21001 Van Born Road Taylor, MI 48180

C. Bryan Tonet
Blue Cross Blue Shield of
 Rhode Island
444 Westminister St.
Providence, RI 02903-3279

Margaret G. Weitzel WYSE Advertising, Inc. 24 Public Square Cleveland, OH 44113

Keith Frank
The University of Oklahoma
Suite 200
5310 East 31st St.
Tulsa, OK 74135

Stephen Satchell P. O. Box 6900 Incline Village, NV 89450

Randal R. Collett
Assn of College & University
Telecommunications Administrators
Lexington Financial Center
259 West Main St., Suite 2420
Lexington, KY 40507

William B. Barfield Jim O. Llewellyn BellSouth Cellular Corp. 1155 Peachtree St., NE Suite 1800 Atlanta, GA 30367